

Discussion of Trends & Issues with SEVP Director Canty

May 31, 2017

NAFSA2017



May 28 - June 2 · Los Angeles, CA USA

Agenda & Process

- Introduction and opening remarks of Director Canty
- Quick review of the list of priority issues/recommendations developed by attendees and NAFSA leaders in yesterday's open meeting
 - You'll be asked to choose "most important" so need to see whole list
- Closer review of each priority issue/recommendation
- Polling is the issue: (1) the most important; (2) very important; (3) somewhat important; (4) not very important for you?
- NAFSA staff will provide SEVP a list of all issues discussed yesterday, the priority issue list, and the polling information

Rachel Canty: Acting Deputy Assistant Director

- Acting Deputy Assistant Director (DAD) of SEVP, effective May 15
- Former DAD, Louis Farrell, moved to National Security Investigations Division
- Acting Director Canty has served as Chief of SEVP's External Operations for the past five years and will continue in this role
- She has been with DHS since 2001 and previously served as the Acting Assistant Director of the Immigration and Customs Enforcement Office of Policy from 2011 to 2012



List of Priority Issues/Recommendations

- I-17 Adjudication Delays
- Updating Program of Study Information on I-17
- SRC response times and quality of responses
- 5-month out of country rule
- CPT guidance
- Interagency interpretation of shared regulations
- SEVP Field Representatives
- Data in SEVIS
- Kudos to SEVP on Webinars, Help Hubs, DSO addition processing times



I-17 Adjudication Delays

I-17 Adjudication Delays

- Long I-17 adjudication times with a locked I-17 prevent other update and recertification submissions
- Anxiety when CED is approaching and school administrators receive notices that imply PDSO may not be on top of things

- Don't send letters to president when failure to apply for recertification is caused by pending I-17 updates
- Separate I-17 update from recertification updates
- Describe the process online (e.g. SEVP will push back CED, etc.)



Updating Program of Study Information on I-17

Updating Program of Study Information on I-17

 Schools are uncertain about what constitutes a "program of study" that has to be updated on I-17 and why such updates take so long

- Provide clear guidance on program of study updates
- Provide extra time to respond to RFI/RFE
- Clarify expected processing times, and publish actual processing times
- For new programs that are within the same degree level and same 2-digit CIP code previously approved on the school's I-17, allow I-20s to be issued while adjudication is pending

Improve SRC response times and quality

Improve SRC response times and quality of responses

- Can the SRC provide better indication of the regulatory basis of their requests or decisions, including for correction requests?
- The technical Help Desk side of the SRC sometimes goes beyond the technical scope and responds to policy questions or questions about interagency matters, such as USCIS filing.
- Processing times for data fix corrections vary greatly; can they be better standardized?



5-month out of country rule

5-month out of country rule

- The "temporary absence" provisions are outmoded
- For example, they do not adequately provide for: (1) PhD students working on dissertation abroad, (2) master students completing required "capstone" abroad, or (3) OPT students whose US employer who stations them abroad for 5+ months

- Analyze the rules with stakeholder input
- Identify what can be changed by policy, and propose new regulations if necessary



CPT Guidance

CPT guidance

 Can you provide updates on SEVP's development of guidance on Curricular Practical Training?

Recommendations

Solicit significant stakeholder feedback on the role of experiential learning in the modern educational environment, while developing draft guidance or new regulatory language



Interagency interpretation of shared regulations

Interagency interpretation of shared regulations

 Many benefit and compliance issues depend on interagency cooperation, and sometimes an agency may interpret a shared regulation differently than SEVP

- SEVP take the lead in engaging with partner agencies as well as stakeholders on shared policy/procedural questions
- For example, can SEVP take the lead in defining what constitutes a "higher educational level" for purposes of USCIS adjudicating an OPT application?
- Additional guidance and training for USCIS and CBP



SEVP Field Representatives

SEVP Field Representatives

- Field Reps are often very noncommittal when asked a question
- Some Field Rep responses are inconsistent with school's understanding of law or policy

- Revisit Field Rep job description and goals; Focus less on "here to help you" messaging
- Seek nationwide feedback from stakeholders on value Field Reps can bring
- Uniform process for Field Reps to get policy answers from SEVP HQ
- Consistency in Field Rep training
- Less monolithic approach customize to needs of schools and SEVP (e.g., new, established, F, M)



Data in SEVIS

Data in SEVIS

- SEVIS data anomalies after SEVIS releases
- CLAIMS SEVIS interface issues, e.g., OPT data

- Don't run automatic jobs after any SEVIS change unless there's been thorough testing
- SEVP take the lead in working with USCIS on CLAIMS SEVIS interface
- A web page that lists known issues, reports on status of resolution, and links to standardized work-around steps



Kudos to SEVP

Kudos to SEVP – Thank you for:

- Your excellent and frequent webinars
- Help Hub and Study in the States content
- Publishing draft policy guidance for stakeholder comment
- Providing advance notice and communications
- Greatly reducing processing time of DSO additions
- Providing M-1 guidance on Study in the States



We didn't address your issue(s)?

Submit them to IssueNet
Report an Issue:

http://issuenet.nafsa.org

(indicate "for SEVP Director")



